

E-ISSN: 2708-4523
P-ISSN: 2708-4515
Impact Factor (RJIF): 5.61
AJMC 2026; 7(2): 66-68
© 2026 AJMC
www.allcommercejournal.com
Received: 25-11-2025
Accepted: 28-12-2025

Dr. Anurita Gautam
Associate Professor, Swayam
Siddhi College of Management
& Research, Maharashtra,
India

**Ansari Umme Yashab
Mohammad Javed**
Student, Swayam Siddhi
College of Management &
Research, Maharashtra, India

The Evolving Role of Accreditation and Rankings in Institutional Growth

Anurita Gautam and Ansari Umme Yashab Mohammad Javed

DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.22271/27084515.2026.v7.i2b.1006>

Abstract

Accreditation and university rankings have emerged as powerful mechanisms that influence the growth, quality, and competitiveness of higher education institutions. While accreditation ensures minimum quality standards through systematic evaluation, global and national rankings create competitive pressure for excellence, international visibility, and institutional reputation. This research paper examines how accreditation and rankings have evolved, their role in institutional development, the challenges they pose, and how higher education institutions—especially in developing countries like India—can strategically leverage them for sustainable growth.

Keywords: Accreditation, University Rankings, Institutional Growth, Quality Assurance, Higher Education in India

Introduction

Higher education institutions today operate in an increasingly globalized environment where quality assurance and visibility are critical. Accreditation and rankings have become central drivers that shape institutional strategies, resource allocation, academic quality, and international collaborations. Initially designed as accountability mechanisms, these tools now influence student choice, faculty recruitment, funding opportunities, and institutional prestige.

This paper explores the evolving significance of accreditation and rankings, analyzing their role in institutional growth from academic, administrative, and strategic perspectives.

Evolution of Accreditation and Rankings:

Evolution of Accreditation

Accreditation began as a voluntary quality assurance process to ensure basic standards of teaching, infrastructure, and governance. Over time, it evolved into a comprehensive evaluation system covering:

- Curriculum design
- Learning outcomes
- Research productivity
- Faculty qualifications
- Institutional governance
- Student support systems

In India, bodies such as NAAC, NBA, and NIRF (ranking framework) have modernized the accreditation landscape, making it more outcome-based and data-driven.

Evolution of Global Rankings

University rankings initially focused on research output (e.g., Shanghai Rankings), but later included multiple indicators like:

- Teaching quality
- Graduate employability
- Internationalization
- Societal impact

Corresponding Author:
Dr. Anurita Gautam
Associate Professor, Swayam
Siddhi College of Management
& Research, Maharashtra,
India

Prominent rankings include:

- QS World University Rankings
- Times Higher Education (THE) Rankings
- Academic Ranking of World Universities (ARWU)

Rankings have shifted from simple scorecards to strategic benchmarking tools used by policymakers and institutions worldwide.

Role of Accreditation in Institutional Growth: Quality Assurance and Standardization

Accreditation ensures that institutions maintain academic rigor and standardized processes. It helps:

- Improve teaching-learning quality
- Update curriculum regularly
- Establish strong internal quality assurance systems

Enhancing Institutional Credibility

Accredited institutions gain trust among:

- Students and parents
- Regulatory bodies
- Industry partners
- International collaborators

A high NAAC grade or NBA accreditation becomes a symbol of credibility and reliability.

Facilitating Funding and Autonomy

Government agencies often link accreditation to:

- Grant allocations
- Research funding
- Regulatory privileges
- Autonomy status (Grades A and above)

This directly accelerates institutional development.

Promoting Continuous Improvement

Accreditation encourages:

- Data-driven decision making
- Annual quality assessments (AQAR)
- Adoption of best practices
- Faculty development programmes

As a result, institutions experience systematic, long-term growth.

Role of Rankings in Institutional Growth Enhancing Reputation and Competitiveness

High rankings significantly boost the institution's public image, increasing demand from:

- High-performing students
- Competent faculty
- Corporate recruiters

Supporting Internationalization

Top-ranked institutions attract:

- Foreign students
- Global partnerships
- Research collaborations
- Exchange programs

Rankings act as a global advertisement channel.

Driving Research and Innovation

Ranking methodologies emphasize:

- Research publications
- Citations
- Patents
- Innovation impact

Institutions invest more in research infrastructure and faculty development to improve their ranking.

Influencing Student Admission Decisions

Students increasingly rely on rankings for:

- Choosing colleges
- Selecting specializations
- Evaluating employability prospects

Thus, rankings influence institutional enrolment patterns.

Challenges Related to Accreditation and Rankings: Overemphasis on Metrics

The pressure to score well may lead to:

- Data manipulation
- Superficial compliance
- Neglect of holistic education

Resource Inequalities

Large institutions with better funding often perform better, creating unequal competition with smaller or rural institutions.

Western-centric Ranking Frameworks

Many global rankings prioritize:

- Research publications in top journals
- International faculty/students

This disadvantages institutions from developing nations with different academic priorities.

Administrative Burden

Data collection for accreditation and rankings requires:

- Time
- Skilled staff
- Extensive documentation

Which can divert attention from teaching and research.

Strategies for Institutions to Leverage Accreditation and Rankings

Strengthen Internal Quality Assurance Cells (IQAC)

IQACs must adopt:

- Continuous monitoring
- Academic audits
- Outcome-based education models

Invest in Research and Faculty Development

Institutions should:

- Encourage publications
- Provide research grants
- Form industry–university collaborations

Improve Student Support and Employability

Focus on:

- Skill development

- Career counselling
- Placement enhancement

These factors influence both accreditation and rankings.

Build Transparent Data Management Systems

Accurate and real-time data helps institutions:

- Prepare for accreditation
- Track ranking indicators
- Improve decision-making

Strengthen Internationalization Efforts

Through:

- Foreign collaborations
- Joint degree programs
- Faculty and student mobility

Case of India: Changing Landscape

India has witnessed rapid transformation through:

- NAAC reforms
- Introduction of NIRF
- Push for NEP 2020 implementation
- Internationalization initiatives
- Institutions are increasingly investing in:
- Digital learning
- Outcome-based education
- Research clusters

These developments align Indian institutions with global standards, supporting long-term growth.

Conclusion

Accreditation and rankings have evolved from basic evaluation tools to powerful strategic instruments shaping the direction of higher education. Their role in enhancing quality, credibility, competitiveness, and global engagement is undeniable. However, institutions must balance metric-driven approaches with holistic educational values. When leveraged effectively, accreditation and rankings can accelerate institutional growth and enable long-term excellence in the rapidly evolving global education ecosystem.

Here are proper academic references you can add at the end of your research paper. They include books, journal articles, government reports, and credible ranking/accreditation sources.

References

1. Altbach PG, Salmi J. The road to academic excellence: The making of world-class research universities. Washington (DC): World Bank Publications; 2011.
2. Dill DD, Soo M. Academic quality, league tables, and public policy: A cross-national analysis of university ranking systems. *Higher Education*. 2005;49(4):495–533.
3. Hazelkorn E. Rankings and the reshaping of higher education: The battle for world-class excellence. London: Palgrave Macmillan; 2015.
4. Martin M, editor. External quality assurance in higher education: Options for higher education managers. Paris: UNESCO International Institute for Educational Planning; 2018.
5. National Assessment and Accreditation Council. Manual for affiliated/constituent colleges. Bengaluru: NAAC; 2022.
6. Morrow N, Torres CA. Social theory and education: A critique of theories of social and cultural reproduction. New York: Teachers College Press; 2000.
7. Salmi J. The challenge of establishing world-class universities. Washington (DC): World Bank; 2009.
8. Enders J. Higher education, internationalisation, and rankings. In: Teichler G, Janson U, editors. *World ranking systems*. Dordrecht: Springer; 2014. p. 33–44.
9. Marginson S. Global university rankings: Implications in general theory and empirical practice. *Higher Education*. 2007;52(1):1–39.
10. Usher A, Savino MM. A global survey of university ranking systems. *Higher Education in Europe*. 2007;32(1):5–15.
11. de Wit H, Altbach PG, editors. *Internationalization in higher education: Global trends and recommendations*. Boston: Boston College Center for International Higher Education; 2021.
12. Hazelkorn E. *Global rankings and the geopolitics of higher education: Understanding the influence and impact of rankings*. London: Routledge; 2018.
13. National Institutional Ranking Framework. Framework document and methodology report. New Delhi: Ministry of Education, Government of India; 2025.
14. Tilak JBG. *Higher education in India: In search of equality, quality, and quantity*. New Delhi: Orient BlackSwan; 2018.
15. Johnstone DB, Marcucci PN. Financing higher education worldwide: Who pays? Who should pay? *International Higher Education*. 2010;59:6–8.
16. Foster J. The ranking game: Changing rules and new players. *Journal of Higher Education Policy and Management*. 2010;32(5):459–470.
17. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. *Education at a glance: OECD indicators*. Paris: OECD Publishing; 2020.
18. Ministry of Education. *National education policy 2020*. New Delhi: Government of India; 2020.