



E-ISSN: 2708-4523  
P-ISSN: 2708-4515  
AJMC 2025; SP-6(2): 21-23  
© 2025 AJMC  
[www.allcommercejournal.com](http://www.allcommercejournal.com)  
Received: 05-04-2025  
Accepted: 07-05-2025

**Alpa Jain**  
DPG Degree College,  
Gurugram, Haryana, India

**Annu Yadav**  
DPG Degree College,  
Gurugram, Haryana, India

**Komal**  
DPG Degree College,  
Gurugram, Haryana, India

**Corresponding Author:**  
**Alpa Jain**  
DPG Degree College,  
Gurugram, Haryana, India

**Two-Days National Conference on Multidisciplinary Approaches for  
Innovation and Sustainability: Global solution for contemporary Challenges-  
NCMIS (DPG Degree College: 17<sup>th</sup>-18<sup>th</sup> 2025)**

## **The role of human resource management in handling workplace conflicts and disputes**

**Alpa Jain, Annu Yadav and Komal**

**DOI:** <https://www.doi.org/10.22271/27084515.2025.v6.i2Sa.625>

### **Abstract**

This paper examines how Human Resource Management (HRM) handles disputes and conflict at work. It provides the ways to prevent conflicts, resolve them, and to promote the reconciliation after disputes. The results show that good HRM not only resolves immediate issues but also increases corporate culture environment and reduces them. This study provides suggestions for creating comprehensive conflict management frameworks which can help to meet organizational objectives.

**Keywords:** HRM, conflicts, disputes, meet organizational objectives, management frameworks

### **1. Introduction**

Workplace conflicts arise from different point of views, conflicting interests, and mutual disagreements. To manage the conflicts effectively becomes a strategic issue as businesses are growing in a more complex way. Organizational conflict management systems are designed by HRM departments which transform disputes from critical forces into opportunities for growth.

### **2. Literature Review**

#### **2.1 Conceptualizing Workplace Conflict**

Workplace conflict can be defined as "an interactive process manifested in incompatibility or disagreement within or between social entities" (Rahim, 2017) <sup>[11]</sup>. Thomas and Kilmann's (1974) <sup>[14]</sup> model describes five conflict approaches which are; competing, accommodating, avoiding, compromising, and collaborating. Current research labels conflicts as task-related, relationship-based, or process-oriented, each requiring different management tactics.

#### **2.2 The Evolving Role of HRM**

HRM has grown from a managerial function to a strategic organizational partner. Contemporary HRM incorporates talent management, organizational development, and employee relations (Boxall & Purcell, 2016) <sup>[3]</sup>. This evolution places HRM as the key in modelling organizational culture and managing workplace conflicts.

#### **2.3 Theoretical Frameworks**

Numerous philosophies notify HRM approaches to conflict management, including organizational justice theory (Greenberg, 1990) <sup>[7]</sup>, social exchange theory (Blau, 1964) <sup>[2]</sup> and systems theory. More recent developments include face-negotiation theory (Ting-Toomey, 2005) <sup>[15]</sup> and cooperation-competition theory (Deutsch, 2014) +.

### **3. HRM Tactics for Conflict Prevention**

**3.1 Proactive Policy Development:** Organizations with well-expressed conflict management policies understanding 27% fewer formal complaints. Effective policies include clear behavioral standards, communication procedures, and defined grievance procedures.

#### **3.2 Strategic Recruitment and Selection**

By evaluating candidates' conflict management styles during appointment, HRM can condense the likelihood of critical conflicts.

According to Oh *et al.* (2018) <sup>[10]</sup>, behavior tests that measure features like amicability can estimate a person's tendency for handling conflict.

### 3.3 Training and Development

According to Gilin Oore *et al.* (2015) <sup>[6]</sup> formal grievances are condensed by 43% in organizations that use wide-ranging conflict management training. Cultural sensitivity, emotional intelligence, negotiation procedures, and active listening are all enclosed in such active programs.

## 4. The function of HRM in proactive conflict settlement

### 4.1 Facilitation and Mediation

78% of office disputes are settled via core mediation programs without resorting to formal processes (Bingham & Novac, 2017) <sup>[1]</sup>. Concentrating on interests rather than situations, establishing emotional security, and being unbiased are all examples of effective tactics.

### 4.2 Official Grievance Procedures

By identifying general problems, well-designed grievance procedures not only settle individual disputes but also nurture corporate learning (Colvin, 2016) <sup>[4]</sup>. Appropriateness, routine homogeneity, and retribution prevention are important factors.

### 4.3 Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR)

According to Lipsky *et al.* (2020) <sup>[8]</sup>, companies that have combined conflict management systems see 40% scarcer conflict-related expenses and 60% scarcer pay claims. ADR alternatives include early unbiased evaluation, and peer review panels.

## 5. Post-Conflict Management and Knowledge

### 5.1 Transformation Relations

After resolution, HRM facilitates settlement via organized reintegration processes and team-building activities. "Face restoration" practices help conflicting parties preserve self-esteem while moving onward (Ting-Toomey & Oetzel, 2016) <sup>[16]</sup>.

### 5.2 Organizational Learning

By analyzing conflict outlines, HRM can recognize systemic issues requiring attention. Organizations using systematic measurement of conflict management results are 2.3 times more likely to constantly enlarge their practices (Roche & Teague, 2019) <sup>[12]</sup>.

## 6. Modern Challenges

### 6.1 Digital and Inaccessible Work Conflicts

Inaccessible work creates exclusive conflict dynamics, including reduced non-verbal signs and technology-mediated communication barricades (Nardi & Whittaker, 2022) <sup>[9]</sup>. HRM specialists are emerging computer-generated mediation procedures and digital conflict detecting tools.

### 6.2 Multicultural and Generational Variances

Worldwide organizations need traditionally adaptive conflict resolution tactics. Moreover, the modern workplace extents multiple generations with diverse conflict management preferences (Urick *et al.*, 2017) <sup>[17]</sup>, requiring tailored resolution trails.

## 7. Recommendations for HRM Practitioners

1. Develop comprehensive conflict management systems integrating prevention, resolution, and reconciliation.
2. Invest in conflict management training for all organizational levels.
3. Implement technology-enabled conflict monitoring tools.
4. Establish conflict analytics practices to inform organizational development.
5. Create culturally adaptive resolution protocols.
6. Develop specialized approaches for virtual work conflicts.
7. Align conflict management strategies with broader organizational goals.

## 8. Conclusion

Effective conflict management extends beyond resolving individual disputes, contributing significantly to organizational culture and effectiveness. The most successful HRM approaches treat conflict not merely as a problem but as an opportunity for organizational learning and development.

## 9. References

1. Bingham LB, Novac MC. Mediation's impact on employee voice and workplace conflict. *Employee Rights and Employment Policy Journal*. 2017;21(2):101-145.
2. Blau PM. *Exchange and power in social life*. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1964.
3. Boxall P, Purcell J. *Strategy and human resource management*. 4<sup>th</sup> Ed. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan, 2016.
4. Colvin AJ. Conflict management systems and organizational justice provision. *J Manag.* 2016;42(5):1075-1100.
5. Deutsch M. Cooperation and competition. In: Coleman PT, Deutsch M, Marcus EC, editors. *The handbook of conflict resolution: Theory and practice*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 2014, p. 3-28.
6. Oore GD, Leiter MP, LeBlanc D. Individual and organizational factors promoting successful responses to workplace conflict. *Can Psychol.* 2015;56(3):301-310.
7. Greenberg J. Organizational justice: Yesterday, today, and tomorrow. *J Manag.* 1990;16(2):399-432.
8. Lipsky DB, Avgar AC, Lamare JR. Organizational conflict resolution and strategic choice: Evidence from a survey of Fortune 1000 companies. *ILR Rev.* 2020;73(2):431-455.
9. Nardi B, Whittaker S. Conflict management in distributed work environments. *J Comput Med Commun.* 2022;27(2):116-134.
10. Oh IS, Wang G, Mount MK. Validity of observer ratings of the five-factor model of personality traits: A meta-analysis. *J Appl Psychol.* 2018;103(6):769-782.
11. Rahim MA. *Managing conflict in organizations*. 5<sup>th</sup> Ed, Abingdon: Routledge; 2017.
12. Roche WK, Teague P. Conflict management systems and innovations in dispute resolution at work. *Br J Ind Rel.* 2019;57(3):630-659.
13. Sandury R, Fisher V, Kinsey S. *Managing workplace conflict: Alternative dispute resolution in action*. Abingdon: Routledge, 2018.

14. Thomas KW, Kilmann RH. Thomas-Kilmann Conflict Mode Instrument. Xicom, 1974.
15. Toomey TS. The matrix of face: An updated face-negotiation theory. In: Gudykunst WB, editor. Theorizing about intercultural communication. Thousand Oaks: Sage, 2005, p. 71-92.
16. Toomey TS, Oetzel JG. Managing intercultural conflict effectively. Thousand Oaks: SAGE Publications, 2016.
17. Urlick MJ, Hollensbe E, Masterson SS, Lyons ST. Understanding and managing intergenerational conflict: An examination of influences and strategies. Work Aging Retire. 2017;3(2):166-185.