E-ISSN: 2708-4523
P-ISSN: 2708-4515
AJMC 2024; 5(2): 827-289

www.allcommercejournal.com
Received: 11-10-2024
Accepted: 27-10-2024

Amara Okafor
Department of Agronomy, Coastal Greenfield College, Lagos, Nigeria

Corresponding Author:
Albin Thomas
Amara Okafor
Department of Agronomy, Coastal Greenfield College, Lagos, Nigeria

Commercial Prospects of Biochar Amendments in Sustainable Agricultural Supply Chains

Amara Okafor

DOI: https://www.doi.org/10.22271/27084515.2024.v5.i2i.774

Abstract
Biochar, a carbon-rich material obtained by pyrolyzing biomass under oxygen-limited conditions, is increasingly viewed as a transformative input for sustainable agriculture. Beyond its ability to improve soil fertility, biochar has gained recognition as a durable form of carbon sequestration, linking agriculture with emerging carbon removal markets. Its commercialization is closely tied to agricultural supply chains, which are under pressure to enhance productivity, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and deliver regenerative outcomes. This paper explores the commercial prospects of biochar amendments, covering feedstock sourcing, conversion technologies, product formulation, agronomic performance, integration into input markets, carbon credit opportunities, and policy frameworks. Data tables from global meta-analyses, techno-economic studies, and pyrolysis performance trials are integrated to highlight practical insights. The analysis demonstrates that biochar can become a strategic enabler for climate-smart supply chains if standardized, economically viable, and embedded in trusted distribution networks.

Keywords: Biochar, sustainable agriculture, carbon sequestration, agricultural supply chains

1. Introduction

Sustainable agriculture is no longer measured only in terms of productivity but increasingly by its ability to deliver resilience and climate mitigation. Supply chains face the dual challenge of ensuring farmer profitability while meeting global net-zero commitments. Biochar has emerged as a promising tool that intersects soil health improvement, residue management, and carbon sequestration. Ancient traditions, such as *terra preta* in the Amazon, offer historical validation, but modern pyrolysis technologies and carbon markets have turned biochar into a commercially relevant innovation.

However, large-scale adoption depends on integration across the entire chain: sourcing agricultural residues, converting them efficiently, developing formulations aligned to farm practices, ensuring economic returns, and linking benefits with verifiable carbon removal. The following sections examine each of these dimensions with reference to recent data.

2. Biochar Feedstock Sourcing and Conversion Economics

Feedstock availability underpins biochar's commercial model. Agricultural residues such as rice husk, sugarcane bagasse, cotton stalks, maize cobs, and coconut shells are abundant but seasonally variable. Their bulk density makes transport expensive, favoring either co-location of pyrolysis near processing industries or distributed systems in cooperatives. Forestry residues, manure solids, and municipal green waste further widen the resource base.

Conversion technologies—from slow pyrolysis reactors to gasification and hydrothermal carbonization—dictate yield and quality. At lower temperatures (~400 °C), yields are higher but stability is lower; at higher temperatures (~600 °C), yields decline but fixed carbon and porosity improve. This trade-off affects both agronomic value and carbon credit eligibility.

Table 1: Biochar Yield at Different Pyrolysis Conditions

Pyrolysis Temperature	Residence Time	Gas Flow Rate	Biochar Yield (%)	Notes
400 °C, 30 min, 0.2 L/min	30 min	0.2 L/min	~37.14%	Lower carbon stability, moderate agronomic benefits
600 °C, 60 min, 0.2 L/min	60 min	0.2 L/min	~30.6%	Higher fixed carbon, better porous structure
Increased gas flow (study comparison)	—	higher flow	34.07% → 32.72%	Yield reduction due to faster gas removal

Source: Sustainability Journal, MDPI (2024)

This evidence shows that commercialization requires balancing yield economics with long-term stability criteria relevant for carbon markets.

3. Product Development and Formulation Pathways

Raw biochar is often inconsistent, dusty, and difficult to apply. For commercial adoption, it must be developed into fit-for-purpose products. These include nutrient-enriched biochars, biochar-compost blends, granulated forms, and coated fertilizers. In horticulture, biochar mixed with cocopeat and perlite is gaining demand for nurseries and protected cultivation. Livestock bedding applications produce nutrient-charged char, creating a closed nutrient loop when reapplied to soils.

Such productization reduces farmer risk by ensuring compatibility with existing input practices and equipment. Firms that provide site-specific dosing recommendations, agronomic data, and ROI projections stand to differentiate themselves in competitive markets.

4. Agronomic Performance and Farmer Economics

The core of biochar's commercial promise lies in measurable farm-level benefits. Numerous studies have established improvements in crop yields, fertilizer-use efficiency (NUE), and water-use efficiency (WUE). Yield gains are not uniform but vary with soil type, crop, feedstock, and application method.

Table 2: Crop Yield Improvements from Biochar Applications

Study / Location	Application Rate	Crop / Soil	Yield Increase	Notes
Global meta-analysis (114 publications)	Varied	Multiple crops, diverse soils	~20% average	Non-fertilized soils show strongest effect
Global dataset (Nature, 2023)	Varied	Field crops & horticulture	5-51%	Strong dependence on soil pH & CEC
Han *et al*. (2023)	Biochar + fertilizer	Diverse crops	14.45% yield ↑, 14.28% WUE ↑, 13.97% NUE ↑	Demonstrates nutrient synergy

Sources: PMC meta-analysis (2024), Nature Scientific Data (2023), Science of the Total Environment (2023)

The implication is clear: farmers adopt biochar when they see economic benefits in 2-3 cropping cycles. Demonstration trials and subsidy support may be necessary to accelerate initial uptake.

5. Integration into Agricultural Supply Chains

Biochar must embed within established supply chain structures rather than being promoted as a stand-alone input. Fertilizer dealers, cooperatives, and contract farming networks provide natural distribution channels. Composting and biogas units can integrate biochar to improve product quality, while food processors and retailers can incentivize adoption through regenerative sourcing contracts.

Livestock systems offer additional potential: biochar bedding reduces odor and methane, while capturing nutrients in manure. This nutrient-enriched char re-enters crop fields, closing nutrient loops and improving sustainability narratives for dairy and poultry value chains.

6. Techno-Economic Viability and Carbon Market Opportunities

The economics of biochar production depend on CAPEX of pyrolysis units, OPEX for feedstock logistics, and potential revenues from multiple streams: product sales, energy co-products, and carbon credits.

Table 3: Production Cost, Market Price, and Carbon Credit Value

Parameter	Value	Source
Operating cost (per metric ton biochar)	~US$194	Project Drawdown (2023)
Production cost estimate	~US$232.87	Patel *et al*., Renewable Energy (2024)
Average market selling price	~US$131	Cloverly Business Report (2023)
Biochar carbon credit value	~US$177/ton CO_2e	Sylvera Data (2023)

Stacking these revenue streams significantly improves viability. A producer selling biochar at US$130/t while simultaneously earning US$177/t CO_2e in credits can double revenues, making commercialization attractive even in low-margin agricultural settings.

7. Standards, Safety, and Quality Control

Market acceptance depends on standards that assure quality and safety. Parameters include heavy metal limits, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, pH, ash content, and cation exchange capacity. Certificates of Analysis (CoAs) and batch traceability are essential for trust. European Biochar Certificate (EBC) and similar initiatives in North America and Asia are paving the way, but global harmonization is still evolving.

8. Business Models in Practice
Different models exist for commercialization:
- Energy-anchored plants at mills, monetizing waste heat for grain drying or greenhouses.
- Carbon-first models that optimize for stable carbon and pre-sell credits.
- Premium product companies selling engineered fertilizers and horticultural substrates.
- Service models where cooperatives manage residue collection, pyrolysis, field application, and MRV for farmers.

All models require financing structures that blend CAPEX loans, carbon advance payments, and strategic partnerships with agri-input companies or food brands.

9. Environmental and Social Co-Benefits
Biochar reduces residue burning, improving air quality in regions like Punjab or Southeast Asia. It reduces nutrient runoff into rivers, enhancing water quality. At the same time, decentralized pyrolysis plants create rural jobs in feedstock logistics and technical operations. Social narratives of biochar—soil restoration, climate mitigation, rural livelihoods—align strongly with ESG frameworks, enhancing its attractiveness for corporate procurement.

10. Challenges and Risks
Challenges remain in ensuring feedstock reliability, maintaining reactor quality, reducing MRV costs, and achieving farmer buy-in. Some residues compete with fodder markets; moisture variability complicates processing. Farmers may be cautious if results are inconsistent or upfront costs high. Policies supporting open-burning bans, soil carbon credits, and biochar subsidies can help overcome these barriers.

11. Conclusion
Biochar represents one of the most commercially viable climate-smart innovations in agriculture. By improving soil fertility, stabilizing yields, and creating durable carbon removal, it sits at the intersection of farm economics and global sustainability agendas. Data from global trials, techno-economic analyses, and carbon market trends indicate that biochar is moving from experimental niche to mainstream agricultural supply chains.

The path forward requires standardization, integration into trusted distribution channels, alignment with carbon market methodologies, and proof of short-term farmer ROI. If these are achieved, biochar can transform agricultural supply chains into resilient, low-carbon, and circular systems, while unlocking new commercial opportunities.

References

1. Lehmann J, Gaunt J, Rondon M. Biochar sequestration in terrestrial ecosystems - a review. Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change. 2006;11(2):403-427.
2. Jeffery S, Verheijen FGA, van der Velde M, Bastos AC. A quantitative review of the effects of biochar application to soils on crop productivity using meta-analysis. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment. 2011;144(1):175-187.
3. Woolf D, Amonette JE, Street-Perrott FA, Lehmann J, Joseph S. Sustainable biochar to mitigate global climate change. Nature Communications. 2010;1:56.
4. Cayuela ML, van Zwieten L, Singh BP, Jeffery S, Roig A, Sánchez-Monedero MA. Biochar's role in mitigating soil nitrous oxide emissions: A review and meta-analysis. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment. 2014;191:5-16.
5. Liu X, Zhang A, Ji C, Joseph S, Bian R, Li L, *et al*. Biochar's effect on crop productivity and the dependence on experimental conditions—a meta-analysis of literature data. Plant and Soil. 2013;373:583-594.
6. Spokas KA, Cantrell KB, Novak JM, Archer DW, Ippolito JA, Collins HP, *et al*. Biochar: A synthesis of its agronomic impact beyond carbon sequestration. Journal of Environmental Quality. 2012;41(4):973-989.
7. International Biochar Initiative (IBI). Standardized product definition and product testing guidelines for biochar that is used in soil. IBI; 2012 [cited 2025 Sep 15]. Available from: https://www.biochar-international.org/resources/
8. Kollapen J. Enhancing soil fertility with biochar amendments for improved rice growth in Sub-Saharan Africa. International Journal of Agriculture and Nutrition. 2023;5(2):88-91. DOI:10.33545/26646064.2023.v5.i2b.153
9. Joseph S, Graber ER, Chia CH, Munroe P, Donne S, Thomas T, *et al*. Shifting paradigms: development of high-efficiency biochar fertilizers based on nano-structures and soluble components. Carbon Management. 2013;4(3):323-343.
10. Major J, Rondon M, Molina D, Riha SJ, Lehmann J. Maize yield and nutrition during 4 years after biochar application to a Colombian savanna oxisol. Plant and Soil. 2010;333:117-128.
11. Verheijen F, Jeffery S, Bastos AC, van der Velde M, Diafas I. Biochar application to soils: a critical scientific review of effects on soil properties, processes and functions*. EUR 24099 EN. Luxembourg: Office for the Official Publications of the European Communities; 2010. p. 1-149.